UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,387	06/02/2006	Chen Wang	80170-1030	3874
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP 600 GALLERIA PARKWAY, S.E.			EXAMINER	
			BOAKYE, ALEXANDER O	
	STE 1500 ATLANTA, GA 30339-5994		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2416	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/581,387	WANG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ALEXANDER BOAKYE	2416
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-10 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination	lrawn from consideration.  d/or election requirement.  iner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrupt The oath or declaration is objected to by the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei     a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:     1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume     2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume     3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume     application from the International Bure     * See the attached detailed Office action for a leading to the certified copies of the priority documents.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Application riority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5)  Notice of Informa 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/581,387 Page 2

Art Unit: 2416

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- **1.** The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Croak et al. (US Patent # 7,468,984) in view of Sparrell et al. (US Patent # 6, 970, 448).

Regarding claim 1, Croak teaches a device for realizing disaster recovery of soft-switch based on a packet network (Figs. 1, 2, 5), comprising at least two core control devices (customer endpoint devices at location A and customer endpoint point devices at location Z) located in different places which provide control service for their respective access equipments (column 3, lines 41-52); wherein each of the core control devices further includes the following units used for disaster recovery(disaster recovery peering module, 505 of Fig. 5; column 4, lines 15-17): a process unit (502 of Fig. 5), a database unit (504 of Fig. 5), a share unit (504 of Fig.5), the process unit and database unit are independent of a processor and a database already existing within each of the core control devices (column 4, lines 34-38 and column 2, lines 48-58), and are specifically for serving remote access equipments, thereby making the core

Application/Control Number: 10/581,387 Page 3

Art Unit: 2416

control devices in different places be of mutual disaster recovery relation (column 5, lines 44-50); the share unit is used for sharing processing ability and data (column 5, lines 28-33 and column 5, lines 44-50).

Croak differs from the claimed invention in that Croak does not disclose a synchronization unit; the synchronization process unit is used for synchronizing data between the core control devices of mutual disaster recovery. However, Sparrell's reference figure 2 discloses a synchronization unit (34); the synchronization process unit is used synchronizing data between the core control devices of mutual disaster recovery (column 12, line 57-column 13,lines 1-4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Sparrell into the system of Croak. One is motivated as such in order to provide low bit rate devices communicate with high bit rate devices operating with different modulation methods.

## Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 2-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/581,387 Page 4

Art Unit: 2416

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Boakye whose telephone number is (571) 272-3183. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30am to 6:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham, can be reached on (571) 272-3179. The Fax number is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or PUBLIC PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) numbers at 866-217-9197 and 703-305-3028.

/ALEXANDER BOAKYE/

Examiner, Art Unit 2416

7/4/2009

/Chi H Pham/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416

7/6/09